

MORRISON

PEACE NEWS

The International Pacifist Weekly

No. 1,044 June 29, 1956 4d. (U.S. Air Express Edition: 10 cts.)

Scapa Flow and Suez CAN CYPRUS BE DEFENDED IF THEY CAN'T?

By Emrys Hughes MP

I HAVE stopped counting the number of people who have come all out for big cuts in our "Defence" expenditure and who have virtually declared for a first instalment of unilateral disarmament.

Mr. Harold Macmillan himself told a Press conference recently that if £700,000,000 were cut in "Defence" his financial and economic problems would be solved, although he hastened to say that this was only a pipe dream.

But no Chancellor has indulged in this way before even in his dreams.

These big cuts are mentioned in numerous press articles without any reference to our commitments which seem to have been forgotten.

What we hear about is what a relief it would be to the tax payer and how our economic problems would be eased if this colossal expenditure on the Army, Navy and Air Force could be reduced.

There is less tendency to say that we must solve our problems in Korea, Malaya, Kenya and the Middle East and Germany first. What we are told is that we simply cannot afford to keep on spending £1,500,000,000 a year on Defence if we are going to maintain our balance of payments, weather the economic crisis and save the pound.

All of this is true enough but it is a demand for unilateral disarmament all the same; that is cutting our bill on armaments before any agreement by a disarmament conference.

Indeed the Admiralty has been the first of the Service Departments to announce that it is going to dispense with what used to be regarded as an indispensable base: Scapa Flow.

NO DEFENCE

This has been done by a Tory Government and no Tory back-bencher has protested or asked where the British Navy is to operate from in the next war. Indeed I have read an article by a retired Admiral in The Scotsman defending the abandonment of Scapa Flow on the ground that no fleet would be safe there in the H-bomb age and that we must think out a new naval strategy based on today's realities.

If that can be true about Scapa Flow, then it is surely true, too, about the Thames Estuary, Southampton Water, the Bristol Channel and the Clyde for they are all within range of the modern H-bomber.

How the people of this country can be defended, if Scapa Flow cannot, nobody knows.

If we have arrived at a time when we can give up Scapa Flow without a murmur of protest from anybody why are we clinging on desperately to distant Cyprus.

I was told last week that the cost of merely restoring law and order in Cyprus amounts to £130,000 a week. And this at a time when every week the Prime Minister and the Chancellor of the Exchequer are going on to the public platform to urge wise spending.

One H-bomb on Cyprus would settle the problem for Greeks, Turks and British alike.

If it came to a major war the oil wells of the Middle East would go up in flames—whether we held Cyprus or not.

Cyprus is a waste of human life. Nearly every day brings some fresh tragedy. What we are doing is indefensible from every viewpoint.

The next stage in a programme of unilateral disarmament should be to leave Cyprus as we have left Suez and Scapa Flow.

WHY H-TESTS MUST BE OPPOSED

By Prof. Kathleen Lonsdale
DBE., FRS.,

In this article, especially written for Peace News, Professor Lonsdale examines the recent Government report on radiation hazards and states the grounds on which peace-workers should oppose future A- and H-bomb tests. These are:

1. That they are wrong;
2. That they result from and cause suspicion and enmity;
3. That they cause suffering and death, unnecessarily, to millions of "lesser creatures";
4. That they are a gross misuse of the world's resources;
5. That they add unnecessarily, even if at present very little, to the world's radiation hazards.

The Hazards to Man of Nuclear and Allied Radiations (Her Majesty's Stationery Office, Command 9780, 5s. 6d. net).

In a recent lecture (Bulletin Institute of Physics, June, 1956, p.152) Sir John Cockcroft gives approximate figures for the expenditure and the numbers of graduates engaged on scientific research in this country, as follows:

£ MILLIONS PERSONNEL

Fundamental research, in Universities or Government, or semi-Government Laboratories ...	10 + 3	5,000
Defence research ...	200	40,000
Civil applied research	60	

So we spend about twenty times as much on "defence" as on fundamental research, in a world which desperately needs new ideas, new methods, in order to be able to feed, clothe and shelter its rapidly increasing population.

Taking into account their larger populations, the various expenditures of the USA and of the USSR are comparable but greater. In fact the annual production of trained scientists for all purposes in the USSR is about ten times our own figure.

WICKED WASTE

The above expenditure on "defence" does not, of course, include the cost of production of nuclear weapons; only of finding out how to make these and other horrors, how to deliver them, how in any degree to mitigate their consequences, and what those consequences would be.

This is a sheer and wicked waste of men, money and materials, all the result of our mutual selfishness, suspicion and stupidity.

The Report that is now before me considers, carefully and dispassionately, the hazards to man—specifically to men, women and children in this country, and to their descendants—not only of nuclear weapons tests and nuclear warfare, but also of other sources of similar radiation: natural sources such as cosmic rays and the radioactive content of the earth; diagnostic and therapeutic X-ray or radioactive treatment; luminous watches and clocks and the fitting of shoes by X-rays; and the occupational and general hazards involved in industrial processes including the work of the Atomic Energy Authority.

There is no attempt in this Report to whitewash Authority or to screen the facts. This is guaranteed both by the names of those who were responsible for it, which include some of those who were rightly responsible for arousing public alarm in the first instance, and by the carefully delineated arguments supporting evidence produced. There is no dissenting minority report; nor is there any pretence of omniscience.

But there is a warning:

"In view of the inadequacy of present

They want them stopped . . .

IN AUSTRALIA, protests against further British tests are growing. They come after an atomic cloud from last week's test blew inland and contaminated water supplies. A security ban was placed on weather reports.

IN BRITAIN: "This new series of tests cannot fail to give a new impetus to the atomic arms race," declare Prof. Coulson, Miss A. Ruth Fry, Laurence Housman, Prof. Lonsdale and Alan Letherland, in a letter published widely in the British Press.

"There is need for a revolutionary change of outlook to match the revolution in man's power to destroy. We should like to see Britain lead the way, renouncing power politics completely and adopting instead policies of positive and persistent peace-making."

Their letter concludes: "May we appeal to those of your readers who feel as we do to write to the last-named (Alan Letherland) at Harlech Road, Liverpool, 23?"

A statement issued by the York Peace Pledge Union declares the tests "quite unnecessary and useless, resulting in a waste of manpower, material resources and nuclear energy which might be utilised to equip nuclear power stations."

IN JAPAN, where it was recently reported that two of a ship's crew were affected by nuclear rain after the US test on May 28, a Second World Conference against Atomic and Hydrogen Bombs is planned to open on Hiroshima Day, August 6.

IN NEW ZEALAND, leading personalities in Church and University life and the pacifist movement have petitioned the New Zealand Parliament to prohibit all weapons of mass destruction, reduce armaments and stop nuclear tests.

knowledge . . . we do not feel justified in naming any specific figure as a limit for the average exposure of the whole population.

"It is nevertheless highly desirable that such a figure should be named as soon as possible and we understand that the International Commission on Radiological Protection has this matter under consideration.

"In the meantime we feel bound to state our opinion that it is unlikely that any authoritative recommendation will name a figure for a permissible radiation dose to the whole population, additional to that received from natural sources, which is more than twice that of the general value for natural background radiation.

"The recommended figure may indeed be appreciably lower than this: and we consider that those on whom rests the responsibility for authorising the development and use of sources on ionising radiation would be well advised to keep this possibility in mind . . .

" . . . today the population in this country receives, from man-made sources, a dose of radiation equivalent to a least one-quarter of that from the natural background.

"In itself this figure . . . can give rise to no immediate apprehensions; but its significance should not be disregarded.

"From the point of view of population genetics, all extra radiation is undesirable, and it is at least a portent that, in the half-century following the discovery of ionising radiation, man has increased his exposure levels by 25 per cent.

"It does not, therefore, seem to be too early to suggest where the use of ionising radiation might be restricted."

Of this 25 per cent (or more), at least 22

ON BACK PAGE

WORK STARTS ON DISARMAMENT PLAN FOR BRITAIN

TWO MPs are among a group set up by British pacifist organisations to prepare proposals for their country's disarmament.

Their terms of reference are:

"To prepare proposals for implementation by Britain of the unilateral disarmament of Britain, having regard to the problems that would arise as a consequence of such measures, and including plans for dealing with these problems, such as economic adjustments, the military evacuation of colonial territories and strategic points and the consequences following on such evaenation, and the changes called for in regard to Britain's commitments."

The Working Party, appointed by the Standing Joint Committee of pacifist organisations which embraces the Fellowship of Reconciliation, the Peace Pledge Union, the Friends Peace Committee and other bodies, consists of the following:

Stuart Morris (Chairman), Leslie Hale, MP, Ernest Ferrington, MP, Dr. Alan Letherland, Wallace Hancock (Secretary), Clifford MacQuire, Bernard Withers, Dorothy Warner, Christopher Farley, Arlo Tatum, Allen Skinner (Rapporteur).

The following are corresponding members: Harold Bing, Rev. Hampden Horne, Dr. E. L. Allen, Professor Coulson, Eric Baker and William Marwick.

LET'S ABOLISH WAR . . . MARCH TOMORROW (SAT.) 10 TRAfalgar Square

Join the Marchers and Pipe Band at 6 Endsleigh Street, Euston, at 1.45 p.m.

ANY QUESTIONS?

Caxton Hall at 6.30 p.m.

CLIFFORD MACQUIRE

STUART MORRIS

MINNIE PALLISTER

VICTOR YATES, MP

CECIL HEATH

Organised by THE STANDING JOINT PACIFIST COMMITTEE (Society of Friends Peace Committee, Fellowship of Reconciliation, Anglican Pacifist Fellowship, Peace Pledge Union, Movement for a Pacific Church)

SPEAKERS IN THE SQUARE AT 3. pm

EMRYS HUGHES, MP
JOHN LOVERSEED
SYBIL MORRISON
Rev. FRANCIS NOBLE
VICTOR YATES, MP

CHAIRMAN: STUART MORRIS



June 29th, 1956

Editorial and Publishing offices
3 Blackstock Road,
London, N.4.
Tel.: STAmford Hill 2262

PEACE NEWS

Distribution office for U.S.A.
130 Brattle St.
Cambridge 38 Mass.

NATO: SYMBOL OF A STERILE POLICY

DURING the development of British imperialism the British ruling class had a clearly recognisable foreign policy. Superficially, it might look fortunate, and could give rise to the characterisation "perfidious Albion" levelled by the French; but it was based on the very simple principle of the "balance of power."

This policy did not seek a genuine balance; that it is possible to found a peaceful world on a carefully contrived equipoise in armed strength is an illusion that often falsifies policy statements today.

The "balance of power" was a British policy which sought to prevent any combination of powers gaining military ascendancy over other combinations without Britain's aid.

When, therefore, one combination tipped the balance, Britain came in on the side of the weaker combination, and thus tipped the balance the other way.

From the standpoint of power politics it was an enormously successful policy: it enabled the British possessing and governing classes to bring under British control an enormous proportion of the world's territory and peoples, and to emerge on the victorious side in all her wars (although increasingly victory has taken on a very bitter flavour).

That phase in human history has now passed away for Britain, and for that matter for all the other powers. Power was then spread not too unequally among a number of governments situated in Europe; most of the rest of the world was available for their imperialistic manoeuvres.



TODAY the power division is on a world scale; it is focussed in the two monster powers, the US and the USSR. Britain is no longer able to tilt the balance of power.

Britain can play a part in the power struggle only in a subordinate role. Its interests must inevitably have a diminishing significance. Its views exercise a decreasing influence.

In this situation the method of nuclear warfare has developed and its instruments have been accumulated by the lesser Power in the two hostile blocs. Now all the powers have to recognise, and act on their recognition, that world war can no longer be accepted as a possible final end in the power struggle because it must mean general human catastrophe. No power can advance or safeguard its interests by such means.

Since the realisation of this overwhelming fact came upon the statesmen of the various powers, they have been living "from hand to mouth" in their conceptions of international policy. They have had no clear guiding lines.

There are indications that the Russian Government have some appreciation of the enormous consequences of the change, but judging by the pronouncements of Western statesmen, their policies today are without direction.

That this should be so where the US is concerned is not surprising. There must be a sense of chagrin when one has struggled to the position of a world power only to find that the old conception of power has become an anachronism because it would be fatal to act upon it.



SO far as Britain is concerned, however, the position is different. Even without the development of nuclear warfare Britain would no longer remain a Great Power.

The more its leaders struggle to hold on to the position of Second Class Power, the greater will become their subordination to America, the more they will destroy possibilities for economic advancement for Britain, and the more surely will the name of Britain become despised among the peoples whose friendship it could otherwise have won.

However much the US and the USSR may continue to be tempted to put their faith in the chimera of military strength, "conventional" and nuclear, it has become very apparent that for Britain today any realistic policy must have a pacifist basis.

The outstanding symbol of ineffectiveness in British policy today is its continued association with NATO, an outmoded and sterile conception.

A first step in an effective British policy—which would enable Britain to offer a new world leadership in terms of the conditions of today—would be a move for the liquidation of NATO.

LAND AND DISARMAMENT IN INDIA

Focus on the Bhoodan movement By RADHAKRISHNA

THE Eighth Annual Conference of Sarvodaya workers is over. It has been held in India annually since Gandhi's death in 1948. Many workers eagerly look forward to attending it.

It has almost assumed the shape of a Conference of Bhoodan workers, not only because the idea of a fellowship of Sarvodaya workers was Vinoba's but because to most Gandhians the land-gift movement, with its extensive programme and ultimate conception of Gramraj (village republics), is the accepted practical programme.

Bhoodan is drawing in many of the organisations and institutions Gandhi set up and each institution is attempting to integrate its own independent programme with the work of the land-gift movement.

Challenge of education

It was very significant that this year together with the Sarvodaya Conference, the Hindustani Talimi Sangh held its annual All India Basic Education Conference.

In order to bring the reconstruction of villages through village industries and basic education to the forefront, Vinoba Bhauji insisted on the holding of these conferences together.

Land distribution is only a beginning of the programme of reconstruction. The entire programme can only be hastened by a programme of education at all stages.

The challenge of basic education—consisting of gaining an intelligent understanding of natural and social

environment, the cultivation of practical skills with a social purpose—is the best preparation for a new social order.

Through the blending of basic education with the programmes associated with land-distribution a new awareness can be created, and preparation made for a new form of living, involving the total education of the community.

The Sarvodaya Conference this year coincided with the celebrations all over the world of Buddha's enlightenment. The Conference was presided over by Appa Patwardhan, a veteran Gandhian worker from Maharashtra.

The President of India, Rajendra Prasad, attended the session. The President of the Congress Political Party was there to pledge the support of his party to the movement.

Sri C. Rajagopalachari, one of the old guards of the country and the last Governor-General in India, inaugurated the Conference. He appealed to the Government of India to cut expenditure of defence at least by 50 per cent unilaterally.

Vinoba took up the refrain in his speeches. They both insisted that US military aid to Pakistan should not deter India from taking unilateral action in reducing armaments. India should boldly move forward in its policy of universal peace.

The challenge is to create an effective climate of opinion for Gramraj, the

Unilateral disarmament would be



IN PERSPECTIVE

THE most important consequences outside Russia of the Khrushchev revelations regarding the Stalin regime have occurred in Italy.

In the Italian political situation the Nenni section of the Socialist Party have found it possible to "fellow-travel" with the Communists without being absorbed and destroyed as a party as in the Russian satellite countries; although it is difficult to believe that Nenni could have anticipated that his Party could have survived if it had become associated with the Communists in the carrying through of revolutionary changes.

Now Togliatti for the Communists in Nuovo Argomenti, and Nenni for his group of Socialists in Avanti, have each made long statements in criticism of the Russian regime.

Of these, that from Nenni is by far the more important. Although Togliatti declares for the right of the Communist Parties to pursue policies independently of the wishes of the Russian Communist Party and Government, he appears to find his major reason for criticism that Khrushchev has lifted the lid on what has happened in Russia without consultation of the other Communist Parties.

Challenge to CP

NNENI'S criticism, on the other hand, raises the fundamental issues behind the question of how the nightmare of the Stalin regime could have come about, and he finds the answer where it can only be found—in the character of the Communist conception of policy.

He points out, too, that the Khrushchev disclosures represent no fundamental change in the regime: "The twentieth congress was asked to believe: it does not appear that it was given the means to judge."

Nenni indicates that he hopes to be able to move towards union with the Saragat group of Socialists and he is clearly anticipating that they may be able to challenge the Communists for working-class leadership.

The French Communist leaders have so far done all they can to damp down any discussion among their followers on the implications of the Khrushchev speech, but when the French CP meets in Conference on July 18 it is probable that it will be found that Italian developments will have profoundly affected the outlook of the French left.

Post-Stalin Russia

THE two most valuable examinations of what is happening today in Russia that we have seen have been written by I. F. Stone in his weekly newsletter, and our own contributor A. J. Muste in the May issue of Liberation.

Mr. Stone's articles have been written after a recent visit to Moscow.

Mr. Muste's article is a penetrating examination of what the "de-sanctification and vilification of Stalin" portend. The conclusion he reaches

is that there is also a good deal that

raises the question whether the operations of the Capricorn Society are

really directed to the attainment of a

smoother working method of main-

taining White ascendancy rather than

to the effective realisation of a

genuinely equal status for all men

whatever the colour of their skins.

Equality before God, yes; but is

there ever to be equality in relation

to the processes of government?

Much that appears in this contract gives the impression of being con-

trived to provide a doctrinal backing

for the fantastic franchise measures

now being proposed for Kenya; and

the concluding sentence claims that

is that the defamation of Stalin was in the nature of the guarantee that Stalin's successors required of each other as a foundation for a collective leadership.

"By murdering Stalin's image they have created a psychological situation . . . wherein it is virtually impossible, for a good while at least, for anyone to rise to popularity and power as the reincarnation of that image.

"They have, moreover, provided a scapegoat for all the leaders, who

survived as leaders because they co-

operated with Stalin, all of whom in

laying their sins on the sacrificial

goat implicated themselves in the

shame and guilt of the past."

In this conclusion there is, of course, a good deal of the guesswork that becomes both necessary and possible wherever totalitarian secrecy is concerned, and it is possible to make other guesses than this.

In arriving at his conclusion, however, "A.J." has provided a masterly theoretical examination of developments in Russia which pacifists will find most illuminating. We urge our readers to obtain copies of Liberation and read this article.

Capricorn contract

AFINE and generous statement of belief opens the "contract" proposed by the Capricorn Africa Society which met last week at Salina in Nyasaland. It says:

"All men, in spite of their varying individual talents and differences of race and colour, are born equal in dignity before God and have a common duty to Him and to one another."

In the remaining five clauses of the contract there is a good deal more that seems to point to a different outlook from that under which native Africans of South Africa and the Rhodesias have had to suffer up to the present.

But there is also a good deal that

raises the question whether the operations of the Capricorn Society are

really directed to the attainment of a

smoother working method of main-

taining White ascendancy rather than

to the effective realisation of a

genuinely equal status for all men

whatever the colour of their skins.

Equality before God, yes; but is

there ever to be equality in relation

to the processes of government?

Much that appears in this contract gives the impression of being con-

trived to provide a doctrinal backing

for the fantastic franchise measures

now being proposed for Kenya; and

the concluding sentence claims that

it would be well if the people of

Britain were as far-seeing and

demanded the withdrawal of Ameri-

can troops from this country.

There is no longer security in far-

flying bases, and if the Western powers

are to persuade the rest of the world

that they are really concerned about

the freedom and rights of small

nations, they cannot afford to al-

low to justify even a partial occupation of

other people's territory.

Security, whether for Iceland, Cey-

lon, or Britain, lies not in bases which

are part of the policy of peace but in total

disarmament.

From the Editor's Notebook

"He carried our message"

WAR resisters in Holland lost a good friend earlier this year by the death of the Rev. N. J. C. Schermerhorn at the age of 89.

The place where he lived, Nieuwe Niedorp, became familiar to all those who have read the lists of Dutch COs in prison at Christmas—that was the measure of his influence.

"He was a splendid speaker," Wim

Barr, Dutch member of the War Resisters' International Council, tells me. "He carried our message all

through the country."

Labour Peace Fellowship

LATEST MP to join the ranks of the Labour Peace Fellowship is Henry McGhee (Lab., Penistone, Yorks).

He was first elected in 1950. From 1950-51 he was Parliamentary Private Secretary to the Minister of Works. His home is in Sheffield.

Sacked . . .

THREE following have been given the

sack recently in the USA:

John Salter from Tucson (Arizona) Post Office, because as a member of the local Fellowship of Reconciliation group he had been involved in telling High School students about the right to register as a conscientious objector.

Lyle Tatum, from his post as Administrator of the Protestant Home for Children in Buffalo, New York. It was charged that he per-

New open door

A NEW "open-door," where travel

hospitality under the "Servas" work

study-travel scheme, is in the Nig-

hills in India.

It is the home of semi-invalids Mar-

</div

NOT THAT WAY

MO add a touch of conviction to a Civil Defence recruiting film, the Home Office arranged that the four-year-old grand-daughter of a CD worker should crawl around on the rubble.

But the film producers could not bring a look of terror into her eyes, nor make her cry convincingly.

At last an idea came. Grandma would walk away, as though leaving the child.

"Your Nannie's gone," said the cameraman. Tears and the required look came into the child's eyes. The camera whirred. . . . "The final scene with Jill will probably be the one that sticks in people's minds," says a newspaper report.

Such methods and resources are not available to us in appealing for Peace News.

Our need is great. We want our Fund figure to stand at £1,500 by the beginning of July, for we have over £3,000 to raise this year from this appeal and a further £2,000 from the sale of Christmas cards and books.

Last week we received a generous gift of £400 from a reader who was, in this way, able to express his desire to see the paper continue publication.

Are there 10 other readers who can support him with cheques for £40; or 40 who can send £10 or 100 who can send £4?

This week-end is a vital half-way mark in our financial year. Please help in whatever way you can to raise the further £400 which we so urgently need.

THE EDITOR.

Contributions since June 15: £487 0s. 5d. Total since January 1, 1956: £1,129 15s. 5d. Other anonymous contributions gratefully acknowledged from: Bristol, 5s.; Huddersfield, 5s.; Wrexham, 5s.

Please make cheques, etc., payable to Peace News Ltd., and address them to Lady Clare Annesley, Joint Treasurer, Peace News, 3 Blackstock Road, London, N.A.

Fellowship Party to contest**32 seats**

SPEAKING at Bellingham recently, Ronald Mallone said John Loverseed, AFC, would again contest South Lewisham at the next General Election.

The Fellowship Party was especially glad to oppose Herbert Morrison, since he represented the fall of the Labour Party from its great anti-war and anti-conscription ideals which he held in the Great War.

Since World War II he had supported US occupation of Britain, atomic and hydrogen bombs, military conscription and German rearmament.

John Loverseed was no longer a solitary independent, Mallone said, but the Chairman of a party of principle. Thirty London seats, Hull and Peterborough, are to be contested by the Fellowship Party.

International students hear two views**CYPRUS: "A question of morality"**

From K. PAT LAWS

"TRY to put yourselves in the shoes of the Cypriot people, and remember that this is a question of morality and justice," said Mr. S. A. Kyrianiou, opening his contribution to a discussion on the problem of Cyprus at the Club of International Students in Loughborough recently.

Mr. Kyrianiou, who is Secretary in London to the Ethnarchy of Cyprus, was opposed by Colonel Euan McKinnon, a private individual, who was putting the case for the Government of Cyprus.

Mr. Kyrianiou based his argument upon the right of self-determination of peoples, which Britain has admitted in her acquiescence to the Charter of the United Nations. He pointed out that the Cypriots could not be bound by Treaties between Britain and Turkey deciding her future, because no Cypriot had signed these treaties.

Violence and its source

Even when the Tripartite Conference of the United Nations on the Eastern Mediterranean and Cyprus was called, no Cypriot representative had been invited. The Conference was, however, valuable in indicating Britain's recognition of the fact that the problem was an international, and not merely a colonial one.

Why then did Britain not submit the matter to international arbitration?

It was stressed that Britain had made a great mistake in sending a military man, Sir John Harding, to govern Cyprus. If their aim was peaceful rule, surely it would have been better to send a politician, not a soldier.

Mr. Kyrianiou deprecated the violence in Cyprus, but emphasised that the terrorists were not backed, or even countenanced, by the Ethnarchy.

He pointed out, however, that one must condemn, besides the perpetrator of violence, the person who, by his wrong action, has provoked that violence.

Questioned as to the reason for the failure of negotiations between Archbishop Makarios and the British Government, Mr. Kyrianiou explained that Britain had refused to guarantee a Cypriot elected majority in the proposed National Assembly.

Without such a composition, he pointed out, the Constitution would be a travesty of democracy.

Colonel McKinnon opened by stressing the "subjective nature of morality." He based

his argument upon the strategic position of Cyprus as a bulwark to Russian expansion, pointing out that Britain was holding Cyprus for NATO, and contended that there was an economic motive behind Greek support of Enosis, Cyprus as a rich land appearing desirable to Greece, who has two million destitute.

Colonel McKinnon stated that Archbishop Makarios shares with Hitler the heresy of race, and claimed that Enosis was the present day equivalent of the annexation of Austria by Hitler in 1938. Enosis, he said, was supported by the Greek and Cypriot Communist parties.

He pointed out that there was a Turkish minority comprising about one-fifth of the population of Cyprus, whom British rule would protect against oppression by the majority. Britain could not give up her position of trust in Cyprus, he concluded by saying, until she was assured that self-determination would bring equal freedom to that enjoyed under British rule.

Ends and means

In the questions from the floor which followed, Mr. Kyrianiou was asked what Cyprus would gain from unity with Greece. He replied the majority of Cypriots were Greeks, and if anyone doubted the strength or unanimity of their desire for Enosis, they had only to hold a plebiscite.

A student asked Colonel McKinnon if he could explain why Britain was following a policy of expediency whilst maintaining that her stand against totalitarianism was a stand against the morality which held that the end justifies the means.

Colonel McKinnon summed up the problem in Cyprus as being a sense of nationalism without nationalisation. Self-determination, he said, would not mean freedom for Cyprus, but rule by Greece.

In his final contribution, Mr. Kyrianiou expressed his belief that, since the twentieth century is not a century of conquest, but of self-determination, Britain, in refusing this right to the Cypriots, was damaging her good name throughout the world.

The meeting was well attended by about 100 students of many races. They appeared evenly divided in their support of the two speakers.

ACQUITTED PACIFIST HELD THREE YEARS IN KENYA

LESLIE HALE, MP, has been battling in the House of Commons to obtain the release of a Christian pacifist, Richard Achieng Oneko, and an over-80-year-old ex-Chief, from detention camps in Kenya.

The Secretary of State for the Colonies has refused in both cases to provide Members of Parliament with particulars of the charges against them and evidence for those charges.

In the debate on Kenya in the House of Commons on June 6 Leslie Hale said:

"My recollection of Achieng Oneko is that he was a charming, young, educated African pacifist who had come to work at the League of Nations and who was as keenly political as I was."

"I believe he is the sort of man with whom we should be negotiating."

On June 13, Mr. Hale asked the Secretary of State for the Colonies:

(1) "Why Richard Achieng Oneko, who was brought to trial in Kenya in 1952 and acquitted in 1953, is still being imprisoned notwithstanding the finding of not guilty and if he will reconsider this matter and also place in the Library of the House particulars of charges outstanding and evidence in support of them?"

(2) "Why ex-Chief of the Kikuyu, Mbuto Koinange who was brought to trial in 1953 and acquitted without the defence being called upon, is still in detention and has been in detention since before the outbreak of the Mau Mau rising under laws passed subsequent to his arrest; and whether he will reconsider this matter and also place in the Library the charges which have been made and the evidence in support?"

INNOCENT

Mr. Lennox-Boyd replied: "I have nothing to add to my replies to the hon. Member on March 9 and October 26, 1955."

In reply to Mr. Lennox-Boyd's parry, Mr. Hale said, "Richard Achieng faced a trial lasting many months . . . the court of appeal said that there was no evidence at all against him . . . he was then re-arrested under the emergency Regulations which had been passed while he was in prison [and] that he has been in prison since before the state of emergency was declared . . ."

Therefore, he said, "this Christian pacifist cannot possibly have broken any Emergency Regulations . . ."

Ex-Chief Koinange, over 80 years of age, has been in prison since before the emergency was declared, he said, and "at his trial the court of first instance dismissed the charge without calling on the defence on the ground that there was no evidence against him."

Mr. Lennox-Boyd pleaded that "the circumstances of the chief's detention are very generous, particularly because of his very great age."

"I am satisfied that there is this in common between the two cases, that it is in the interests of the mass of the people of Kenya as a whole that these persons should not be prematurely released."

Mr. Aneurin Bevan rose and said, "The right hon. Gentleman gets cheers from behind him but few in the country. Is it not a fact that the retention of this man of over 80 years of age who has been declared innocent by a court of law disgusts all decent opinion in Great Britain?"

Mr. Lennox-Boyd: "No, Sir."

*On March 9, 1955, Mr. Lennox-Boyd had said in response to a question by Mr. Hale about these men and Mr. Odede, a Member of the Kenya Legislative Assembly, then in prison for nearly three years without charge or trial, that "They are detained on grounds of public security. The Advisory Committee on Detainees, having heard appeals from each, has recommended that they should continue to be detained."

On October 26, Mr. Lennox-Boyd, replying to Mr. Hale's questions about Mr. Odede and ex-Chief Koinange, said, ". . . these men are detained on grounds of public security. The need for their continued detention is kept under regular review by the Governor and I do not propose to intervene."

International students hear two views**CYPRUS: "A question of morality"**

From K. PAT LAWS

"TRY to put yourselves in the shoes of the Cypriot people, and remember that this is a question of morality and justice," said Mr. S. A. Kyrianiou, opening his contribution to a discussion on the problem of Cyprus at the Club of International Students in Loughborough recently.

Mr. Kyrianiou, who is Secretary in London to the Ethnarchy of Cyprus, was opposed by Colonel Euan McKinnon, a private individual, who was putting the case for the Government of Cyprus.

Mr. Kyrianiou based his argument upon the right of self-determination of peoples, which Britain has admitted in her acquiescence to the Charter of the United Nations. He pointed out that the Cypriots could not be bound by Treaties between Britain and Turkey deciding her future, because no Cypriot had signed these treaties.

Violence and its source

Even when the Tripartite Conference of the United Nations on the Eastern Mediterranean and Cyprus was called, no Cypriot representative had been invited. The Conference was, however, valuable in indicating Britain's recognition of the fact that the problem was an international, and not merely a colonial one.

Why then did Britain not submit the matter to international arbitration?

It was stressed that Britain had made a great mistake in sending a military man, Sir John Harding, to govern Cyprus. If their aim was peaceful rule, surely it would have been better to send a politician, not a soldier.

Mr. Kyrianiou deprecated the violence in Cyprus, but emphasised that the terrorists were not backed, or even countenanced, by the Ethnarchy.

He pointed out, however, that one must condemn, besides the perpetrator of violence, the person who, by his wrong action, has provoked that violence.

Questioned as to the reason for the failure of negotiations between Archbishop Makarios and the British Government, Mr. Kyrianiou explained that Britain had refused to guarantee a Cypriot elected majority in the proposed National Assembly.

Without such a composition, he pointed out, the Constitution would be a travesty of democracy.

Colonel McKinnon opened by stressing the "subjective nature of morality." He based

Spiritual guidance?

A YOUNG American soldier recently became a conscientious objector and sought spiritual counsel from his army chaplain.

The latter discouraged the CO from seeking a discharge on grounds of conscience. The CO said that his conscience would no longer permit him to shoot the enemy.

According to "News Notes," journal of the Central Committee for Conscientious Objectors, the chaplain advised him to "fire over their heads."

SWISS PEACE COUNCIL ASK RIGHTS FOR COS

THE Swiss Council of Peace Organisations, at its last annual assembly adopted a resolution calling for the adhesion of Switzerland to the United Nations while at the same time maintaining its traditional neutrality.

It regards help to the under-developed countries as an urgent duty in the interests of peace of the Swiss Confederation and an indispensable contribution to adequate national defence.

It calls upon Parliament to accept the Borel Bill in regard to the recognition of conscientious objectors and the institution of a Civilian Service as an alternative to military service.

It protests against the motto chosen by the Federal Council for the new gold 25 francs: "In armis libertas et pax" ("In arms, freedom and peace"), which sets forth an erroneous conception of the foundations of freedom and peace which are by no means founded upon force of arms.

—WRI News Service.

RENUNCING WAR**What does it mean in Russia?**

FROM A CORRESPONDENT

AT the Stockholm meeting of the World Peace Council a group of religious leaders and pastors came together and put out an appeal to the faithful of all religions for mutual trust and understanding.

This appeal is signed, among others, by the Metropolitan Nikolai and Mr. Alexander Karev, the General Secretary of the Russian Union of Baptists, and by Bishop Peter of Hungary, as well as by a number of Ministers of religion from the Western nations.

In the course of this letter the signatories call upon all believers "to condemn and renounce the very thought of war," which sounds a little as if they are endorsing the Peace Pledge Union's pledge.

Now we have not hitherto observed that these Church leaders of the Eastern nations have been any more disposed to urge upon Christians the personal renunciation of war than have our own Archbishops, and two years ago (June, 1954) Mr. Karev was developing in the Moscow monthly, News, an argument to show that pacifism was without Christian sanction.

If he has changed his mind we shall naturally be glad and shall hope to learn of any initiative he may be taking for the forming of a Russian section of the War Resisters' International.

Should he still hold the same view as he held two years ago, however, we should welcome any account he may like to give us of what that appeal to believers to renounce the very thought of war may mean to him.

Does he hold that the young Christian (in Russia or Britain) who reports for military training and spends months in learning to manipulate lethal weapons to the greatest effect has renounced the very thought of war?

**Become a steady saver**

£10 PER MONTH IN 4 YEARS BECOMES £515

Subscription Shares in St. Pancras Building Society earn.

3½% FREE OF INCOME TAX

Any amount from 50/- to £50 per month may be invested.

Minimum period of investment 12 months. Current rate on Investment Shares 3½% free of income tax.

Interest from day of investment. No depreciation.

Write today for a copy of "Guide for Investors."

Managing Director
Eric Bales C.C.

ST. PANCRAS
The Home of Good Security

BUILDING SOCIETY

200, Finchley Road, London, N.W.3



**NEW
NOVEL
OF
AFRICA**

Reviewed by
ROBERT GREACEN

A WREATH FOR UDONGO, by Peter Abrahams, Faber and Faber, London, 15s.

ANYONE who has read the previous books of Peter Abrahams—*TELL FREEDOM*, for instance—will not need to be told that this coloured South African, now living in London, is a fine natural writer. He writes well because he has something vital and urgent to say, something in which he believes deeply.

Mr. Abrahams has made good use of his sojourn in London, for that is where his latest novel opens. We are introduced to a group of Africans in exile who are longing and working for the day when their people will gain self-government.

Udongo, an ardent and dominant young man, becomes their leader. We are told how they go about their aim. The theme of their varied relationships with a number of English women is sensitively explored.

One by one these young men go back to Africa in order to make the dream into reality. Udongo in the end finds himself Prime Minister of his country. But things do not work out as he had hoped in London. As he remarks to an African friend newly arrived from England:

"We face problems . . . Running departments isn't the same as making speeches, you know."

Udongo's plans are opposed by the tribally-minded party manager, whose scheming has helped win him power. The old gods are invoked and the drums begin to sound: "Udongo traitor Udongo die." He is hacked to death by his two former guards.

That, in brief, is the story which Mr. Abrahams tells in his fascinating new novel. Here, then, is the Africa not of the blue books and political speakers, but of human beings, idealistic, fanatical, kindly, and brutal.

A WREATH FOR UDONGO may be recommended equally to those who want to know more about Africa's people and problems, and those who can enjoy an exciting, well-written narrative.

H-bomb shield

ATOM HARVEST, by Leonard Bertin, Secker and Warburg, London, 20s.

MR. BERTIN, who for the past four years has been the Daily Telegraph science correspondent, sets out to deal with both the military and peaceful uses of atomic energy.

The history of atomic research and the technical processes involved are simplified for the layman. So far so good.

Unfortunately Mr. Bertin accepts the conventional view of the military application of these monstrous weapons. One chapter heading runs: "The H-bomb Our Shield." Significantly, perhaps, the next is entitled: "Energy Spells Prosperity." There, in brief, we see the tragedy of man's twin-image and double-thinking on the whole problem. Destruction or construction is ours for the asking.

ATOM HARVEST may be recommended as a useful work of popular science; but when its author touches on the military and political aspects of nuclear research his views are contradictory and unsound.

H. E. Fosdick WHAT IS VITAL IN RELIGION

Sermons on
Contemporary Christian
Problems

12s 6d net

SCM PRESS

WILFRED WELLOCK'S PEACE NEWS LECTURE

The cultural basis of a free society

Peace News Reporter

"OUR economy is a war producing economy. I am not interested in any disarmament policy which takes no account of basic causative factors. Culture is the basic need of our age."

This was the message given by Wilfred Wellock at the fifth of the Peace News lecture series: it is the message which by his writings and lectures he has been spreading on both sides of the Atlantic.

"By culture I mean that in a person which determines his conduct—of which he may or may not be conscious his religion, philosophy, sense of values, ideas—the something within him which determines his choice of this or the other," he continued.

"The African tribes have a culture natural to their tribal life. Taken from their villages to factories and cities they are left rudderless: thousands of lives have thus been shipwrecked."

The Hopi Indians of America had a culture in contrast to which modern American life appeared barbaric to many Americans who have experience of it.

Only a new culture, a change of values would save the world today.

"During my lifetime a profound social revolution has taken place in this country," he continued. "It has sprung from a fundamental change in outlook and values—that is in ideology and culture."

"Part of that revolution was the clash between socialist and capitalist ideology, which resulted in a big exodus from the churches in the first quarter of the present century."

"In consequence traditional habits and customs and values were superseded by new ones which have revolutionised human behaviour."

Creative self-expression

Within the Labour Movement itself, the spiritual idealism of William Morris and of Independent Labour Party pioneers such as

Keir Hardie asserted that Industry should be run by the workers themselves and that men should control the instruments by which they earn their bread.

Keir Hardie had stressed the integrity and dignity of the working man and thus his need for responsibility and creative self-expression, while William Morris had placed chief emphasis on the quality of a man's life. Wilfred Wellock's own propaganda in the "twenties" and "thirties" under the aegis of the Independent Labour Party had won an election purely on appeals for a socialism with a spiritual quality.

But in the "thirties" the Labour Party gradually abandoned the qualitative concepts of William Morris and the spiritual idealists for the bread and butter policies of Bernard Shaw and the Webbs who pressed for more

and more centralisation, automation, specialisation, which they said would be followed by nationalisation of the basic industries and a three or four hours working day.

"In my own division where my spiritual and pacifist propaganda had been successful, I was urged to adopt a bread and butter socialism," he continued. "It was on this issue that I resigned my candidacy. It was the clash of a material versus a spiritual outlook or culture."

"From 1945 onwards phenomenal changes in outlook, in the motivation of conduct, and thus in the values and habits of the community at large constituted the most profound social revolution that had ever taken place in our history, or indeed in the Western world. Increasingly money domi-

nated the lives of the peoples of the West."

He had recently visited America. The fever to spend, to purchase the vast quantities of goods produced, had led to \$35,000,000,000 of indebtedness, and \$70,000,000,000 on house mortgages. Wages and salaries, though twice as high as ours, were not enough, whence increasing percentages of future earnings were spent every year.

Britain was now travelling the same road. This "expanding economy" had resulted in a devouring way of life that was heading for bankruptcy, economic breakdown and the tensions which lead to war.

In this country the ever increasing output of cars had created a demand for 1,200 miles of

ON PAGE FIVE

A child's life of Gandhi

Off to South Africa

Last week we read how Gandhi, having returned to India, found that he was unable to earn any money there, and so promised to take charge of some important law business in South Africa for about a year.

GANDHI found South Africa wonderful and was astonished on landing to see the great trees and huge fruits that grew there.

He soon made friends with his new clients who had called him there. They were simple, kind-hearted Muslim people, very wealthy and influential among the Indian community.

Two or three days after his arrival Gandhi went to the Law Courts with these new clients to attend to some of their legal business. He had gone back to wearing Indian clothes since leaving England and on this occasion he was wearing a turban.

The magistrate ordered him to remove it. Gandhi refused to do so and walked out of the Court instead. He had already noticed that the white people who ruled in South Africa

were very rude and snobbish to Indians, treating them as inferiors, no matter how educated or refined they might happen to be.

As for the poorer class of Indians, who had come out to Africa to work as labourers, they were treated too badly for words. They lived a life little better than a slave's life.

Large numbers of very poor people from

India were allowed to stay at good hotels or to eat in nice restaurants, or go to theatres. All these places were reserved for the white people. In some parts of the city even the pavements were reserved for them, while Indians had to walk in the road.

The white people had got the foolish idea that if a man's skin was dark he was not fit to associate with them. It did not matter how clever he was, nor how good his manners were. If he belonged to the dark skinned race, they thought he was their inferior.

It is very hard to believe that people could be so silly, but they were. And if they treated Indians in this way, no matter how educated they might be, we can guess how they despised the poor Africans, who were so very black and primitive and uneducated.

A few days after being asked to remove his turban in the Court, Gandhi had to go on a railway journey from Durban to Pretoria on business for his clients. They bought a first-class ticket for him and he started on his way.

After a time, a European passenger got into the carriage where Gandhi was sitting alone. The European objected to travelling with an Indian and called the guard.

The guard ordered Gandhi to get out of the carriage and go into the compartment kept for Indian people. Gandhi refused to go because he had a first-class ticket and had every right to be in a first-class carriage.

The guard sent for a policeman who pushed Gandhi out onto the platform, and when they ordered him to get into the other compartment he would not go. So the train steamed off without the station leaving Gandhi behind on the platform.

He went and sat in the waiting room. It was night and very chilly, and he had no warm clothes with him as the railway authorities had taken charge of his luggage. He did not dare to ask them for any of his things for fear that they might insult him again. So he just sat and shivered in the dark waiting room, without a friend, alone in this strange unkind land.

HE felt that he had seen enough of the way in which Indians were treated there. He longed for home and the company of all his dear ones. Should he not return home without delay, he asked himself.

Then he remembered his duty towards his clients. They had called him to Africa to manage their business difficulties for them. They had been kind and friendly to him, and they trusted him. Was it not his duty to remain in South Africa until his work was finished?

And there was another thing that made him want to stay: Indians were treated so badly about it. Was it not time that somebody told these foolish Europeans see how wrong it was to treat a man badly just because his skin happened to be a different colour to theirs?

As he sat shivering there in the cold waiting room, Gandhi made up his mind to remain in South Africa, and work, and serve, and succeed, until he had raised the status of his countrymen there.

His life's work had begun. His decision taken that night was like a tiny seed that one day became a mighty tree. Who would have guessed that the thin young man, shivering in the dark, would one day make India a free nation?

NEXT WEEK: *Gandhi becomes a leader*

LECTURE Society

peoples of the West.

America. The fever to

increase quantities of

indebtedness, and

use mortgages. Wages

were as high as ours,

increasing percent-

were spent every year.

g the same road. This

had resulted in a

breakdown and the

war.

increasing output of

and for 1,200 miles of

GE FIVE

ndhi

used to come to South

indented labourers

employed by them

that they worked for

ibly hard, and for the

ad to go on working

over. They only con-

Africa on these cruel

terrible poverty.

eath if they remained

intended to anything

but what a wretched

South Africa, it was

y had already signed

over, they were little

ity for these poor

many days in South

he found that no

stay at good Hotels

, or go to theatres

served for the white

of the city even the

ed for them, while

the road.

got the foolish idea

dark he was not in

t did not matter how

od his manners were

rk skinned race, their

ere that people could

. And if they treated

matter how educated

ess how they despised

ere so very black and

1. asked to remove him

ndhi had to go on an

urban to Pretoria, an

refused to go because

and had every right

age.

cliceman who pushed

compartments, and when

train steamed out of

dhi behind on the

waiting room. It was

id he had no where

ilway authorities were

ge. He did not have

s things for fear of

ain. So he just sat

in the waiting room, without

inge unkink land.

had seen enough of

nd of the rude crud

re treated there. He

company of all the

return home without

is duty towards his

him to Africa, the

fficulties for them

friendly to him, the

of his duty to remain

ork was finished, his

thing that made him

e treated so badly and

obody did anything

that somebody did

e how wrong it was

st because his col-

our to the others, he

re in the cold, he

ide up his mind, he

d work, and stuff it

led the status of

TUESDAYS

MANCHESTER : 1-2 p.m.; Deansgate Blitz

pacifist open-air meeting. Local

and others. MPPU.

THURSDAYS

MANCHESTER : 1-2 p.m.; Friends Mtg. H.

B.10 and B.11 Group PPU.

LONDON, W.C.1 : 1.15-1.45 p.m.; Church

of the Martyr, Queen St., Weekly

Service of Intercession for World

Peace. Conducted by Clergy and laymen

of all denominations.

LONDON, W.C.1 : 7.30 p.m.; Dick Shep-

ton Group.

WEDNESDAYS

LONDON, W.C.1 : 7.30 p.m.; Friends Mtg. H.

8. Endleigh St., Pacifist Youth

Group.

WELLOCK

FROM
PAGE FOUR

major roads in order that these cars might travel at 70 miles an hour. We should need to import more and more food as land was taken up with roadways, garages and runways, and more and more petrol to keep the cars running. That would mean more exports.

More and more specialisation, more and more automation, more and more goods to be bought, bigger and bigger incomes to buy them, more and more competition for the earth's raw material and for exports to pay for them. Where will this take us?

"If these tendencies run on for the next twenty years while the coloured peoples of Asia and Africa rightly demand higher living standards, and the USA and Russia challenge each other for the monopoly of the world's raw materials, what are the chances of disarmament and peace?

"In this situation Britain spends £1,500,000,000 a year on armaments. She dare not spend more because she fears bankruptcy, and she dare not spend less because she fears economic breakdown and Communism.

"Only one thing can save her: a fundamental change in her way of life, which means a change in her culture, her values and motivations, and thus a transition from a quantitative to a qualitative civilisation.

"Britain's present economic plight, and the new scramble of the Big Powers for the monopoly of the world's oil resources, are but two indications of the magnitude of the economic crisis which would follow a European approach to American production and living standards, which are absorbing nearly half the world's output of raw materials.

If that crisis is to be avoided, national economic policies will have to be radically modified in due course, which means that living standards will have to fall. This would bring a new crisis in the form of a class struggle as to which section of the community must bear the brunt of the cuts.

The real or pacifist solution of this problem would be the advocacy of a better way of life, the substitution of various cultural and spiritual values for many physical and material values, which pacifists could not do unless they themselves set the example.

We thus see that an effective pacifism involves integral and personal and collective action.

Now in regard to Kenya. Peace News has done valiant work recently in exposing the wrongs that are being perpetrated in Kenya and in Central Africa, in both of which an appreciable white population.

Already the exposure has resulted in Government action in Kenya which may be the beginning of a new order of things there. But it must be emphasised that effective action will be limited unless it has the consent of the white settlers, as the economic life of the country is largely in their hands.

Moreover, integral action will involve a considerable change in their way of life, in their living standards, and thus in their values. Many white settlers have already made many mental and practical readjustments, often in the teeth of strong opposition from their fellow settlers. But all need to go much farther yet.

The most powerful leaver to this end is British public opinion.

Without such a cultural revolution there can be no world peace."

DIARY

As this is a free service, we reserve the right to select for publication notices sent in. We nevertheless desire to make it as complete as possible as we reasonably can, and therefore urge organisers of events to:

1. Send notices to arrive not later than Monday morning.

2. Include: Date, TOWN, THUS, Place (thill, street); nature of event; speakers, organisers (and secretary's address).

Friday, June 29

Saturday-Sunday, June 30-July 1

ELVADY : 7.30 p.m.; Carmel Chapel, Blackheath, Shamley Green. "A World in Crisis, a New Approach." Joe Mumtazi, Amanda Rainake, John Banks, Allen Skinner, Third Way Movement.

LITCHWORTH : 8 p.m.; Friends Mtg. Hall, 42 South View, For.

SWANSEA : 2.45 p.m.; Ebenezer Vestry, Swansea, For.

Saturday, June 30

LONDON, N.5 : Steenoven H., 16 Abercromby Rd., Brixton. Quarterly day of Prayer and Peace. Chapel open for private prayer and meditation 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.; Holy Communion 12.30 p.m.; Public mtg. 4 p.m.; Fr. G. Lloyd King, "Society, the Individual and the Crusade for the Peace of the Earth," Religion Commission of the Peace Pledge Union.

SHEFFIELD : Greenwood H., 4 Penistone Rd., Sheffield. Ethel Stuart serving Garden Party in aid of the PPU funds. PPU.

Saturday, July 1

BOURNEMOUTH : 6.30 p.m.; Friends Mtg. H., Avenue Rd., Hallam Tennyson, "Vinoba Bhava's Land Gift Mission and Bhuddan Well Fund." SoF, PPU.

LONDON, W.H. : 3.30 p.m.; King's Weigh House Church, Binney St., (Nr. Bond St. S.W.) Pacifist Universalist Service, Michael Fryer, "Animals, Religion and You." Religion Commission, PPU.

LONDON, W.H. : 5 p.m.; 19 Penbridge Villas, Last International Tea, National Dress. All welcome. International Voluntary Service for Peace.

Saturday, July 1

LEYTONSTONE : 8 p.m.; Friends Mtg. H., Bush Rd., Norman Truitt, "Experiment in Living." Peace Pledge Union.

Friday, July 6

BARKING : 8 p.m.; St. Erkenwald's Ch., Levett Rd., Cannon T. B. Scruton, "Christian Pacifism." A.P.E.

BELLINGHAM : 7.45 p.m.; 97 King Alfred Avenue, Mary Grigg, "Politics, Pacifism and Fellowship Party."

Saturday, July 7

BIRMINGHAM : 3 p.m.; Fircrest College, Oak Tree Lane, Bourneville, Garden Party. Dennis Tanner, "Human Rights and Freedom." 6 p.m.; Concert. 7 p.m.; Reunion of Conscientious Objectors. All welcome. Adm. 6d. West Midlands Peace Pledge Union.

Thursday, July 12

LEYTONSTONE : 8 p.m.; Friends Mtg. H., Bush Rd. A. H. Radcliffe, PPU.

Saturday, July 14

MANCHESTER : 2.30 p.m.; Unitarian Colle-

ge, Victoria Park, Box Novemate, MA (South Africa). Gene Sharp, MA (USA), Tom Wardle (lay Pastor), Stamford, "Colour Bar."

Chair: P. C. Whittemore, BA. Social Service Dept. Unitarian and Free Christian Churches, Manchester.

SATURDAY-SUNDAY, JULY 21-22

FIRTHAM : From 2.30 p.m. Saturday, Moor Park College, Discussion course, No. 140, "A Christian View of Current Affairs, 5. Pacifism." Lecturer, The Rev. Canon Willis, Chaplain to the Forces, the Rev. Canon T. B. Scruton, Vice-Chairman, Anglican Pacifist Fellowship; Reginald Sorenson, MP, Chairman, National Peace Council, and Eric Baker, General Secretary, National Peace Council.

Every week!

FRIDAYS

SHIPLEY : 7.15 p.m.; Shipley Group in Labour Party Rooms, Westgate Street, Shipley.

SATURDAYS AND SUNDAYS

LONDON : Weekend Workcamps, cleaning the homes of old-age pensioners. IVSP, 19 Pembroke Villas, W.H. 1.

SUNDAYS

LIVERPOOL : 8 p.m.; Pier Head, Open-air mtg. Liverpool and District Peace Board.

MANCHESTER : 1 p.m.; Deansgate Blitz

pacifist open-air mtg. Local and others. MPPU.

THURSDAYS

MANCHESTER : 1-2 p.m.; Deansgate Blitz

pacifist open-air mtg. Local and others. MPPU.

WEDNESDAYS

LONDON, W.C.1 : 7.30 p.m.; Dick Shep-

ton Group.

PACIFISM ACCUSED and DEFENDED

Miss G. E. M. ANSCOMBE is answered by Peace News Columnist SYBIL MORRISON

Miss G. E. M. Anscombe writes:

MY objection to Oxford's conferring an honorary degree on Mr. Truman was that he was responsible for the decision to drop the atom bombs on Hiroshima and Nagasaki; that these actions were cases of very large scale murder as it were in a new key; that they showed an open contempt for the Law of Nature and divine command prohibiting murder. Further, that the common defence of them as "having saved more lives than they sacrificed" is only true, if it is true, because the allies were hell-bent on the demand for unconditional surrender.

As it was widely publicised at the time that I made my objection in convocation at Oxford, that I was not a pacifist, and as this is a pacifist newspaper I assume that it was liberality of mind that prompted your editor to ask me to write this article. I was at first surprised and inclined to refuse, but on second thoughts I am after all glad of an opportunity to address pacifists.

For I am not merely not a pacifist—i.e. one who thinks pacifism a *false* doctrine—but I believe it to be an actually *harmful* doctrine, which has played a certain part in that remodelling of the Anglo-American conscience by which it became possible to use massacre of civil populations at large as a means in war.

A FALSE DOCTRINE

If you want my demonstration that pacifism—by which I understand the doctrine that for one human being deliberately to kill another is always wrong—is a *false* doctrine, I will refer you to my pamphlet *MR. TRUMAN'S DEGREE* (obtainable for £1s. by ordering through W. H. Smith) where this is briefly but (I think) conclusively demonstrated.

Here I shall concentrate on my thesis that it has been a *harmful* doctrine and that pacifists have *some* share in responsibility for the developments that led to the atomic bombings. I don't say "a great share" because I am sure that is not so. But I do say: *some* share.

You see, though you have wholly convinced only a few people, you have *half* convinced a very large number of people of your thesis. You have convinced them that the line between a bad action and an action that may not be bad is the line between killing and not killing. And then in face of something like Nazi Germany they think: no, we cannot actually be pacifists. So they think that they are forced to embrace the necessity of doing what is bad.

And then they draw no lines. Everything is permissible; the monstrously wicked policy of demanding unconditional surrender, and its natural concomitant, the adoption of the most

ferocious means of warfare, the indifference to whom you make your target.

So was undone the patient work of Christianity over so many centuries in teaching everywhere the divine law given to the Jews, prohibiting murder; under which teaching, though massacres happened, they were known for wickedness; but now they are justified.

You define war as the attempt by one bloc to impose its will by force on another, and it is clear that you think this definition is enough to condemn it. But I say it entirely depends what one nation is trying to impose by force on another. Let me invent a very simple fictitious example.

Suppose for some reason we said the people on the Channel Island of Sark could not emigrate or trade with the rest of the world; and suppose they multiplied so that they were reduced to the prospect of starvation; and suppose we said they must first make a law to kill-off their "superfluous population," and suppose there was a lot of room on another island and they had a decent chance of succeeding in an attempt to force their way on to it—well, that would be "an attempt to impose their will by force" on a lot of other people, and if all else had failed it would be completely justified.

Here Christianity—traditional Christianity—steps in and says: Yes, fight your way in; but avoid revenge, only fight those who are actively opposing you; commit no massacres of the population; and make it clear what you are fighting for—i.e. what are your conditions for stopping.

Now these conceptions are lost, and we are in a condition worse than that of the barbarians. I am saying that you, the pacifists, have *some* share in this.

At Oxford at this moment it is commonly said: It's not fair to blame Truman alone; others were responsible too. As if I were trying to ascribe a unique causality for the event!

No; I only think each man responsible for what he does: the adviser for his advice, the man who decides for his decision, the flatterer for flattering. But that conception, too, is lost.

H-TESTS MUST BE OPPOSED

FROM PAGE ONE

per cent is due to that diagnostic radiology which does apply to the gonads region within the age of reproduction, 1 per cent is due to luminous dials, 1.6 per cent to radiology and industry generally, 0.1 per cent to the Atomic Energy Authority, and less than 1 per cent to the fall-out from test explosions.

The Atomic Energy Authority, both in its capacity as an employer, and as an industry which has to dispose of dangerous waste materials, sets a very high standard which it would be difficult to criticise.

(Let us remember, to our shame as citizens, that between 1937 and 1945 pneumoconiosis, a preventable disease of the lungs, caused by the inhalation of coal and stone dust, killed 3,414 and disabled some 29,310 coalminers in South Wales alone).

It is desirable that other countries developing nuclear power should be equally careful.

As far as nuclear tests are concerned, one must remember that every one of these releases a certain amount of radiation and of radioactive material, some of which is deposited locally, but most of which goes into the upper atmosphere and travels round the world many times, taking about 22 days for each complete circuit.

It is all deposited eventually, all over the world, although if the present rate of testing continues, a steady rate of deposition will not be reached for 100 years.

A GREATER HAZARD

In view of the fact that this amount increases the natural background of radiation by less than one per cent, it cannot be said to constitute a serious threat to the health and well-being either of ourselves or of our descendants, although this might not be true if the number and frequency, especially of the more powerful types of nuclear weapons tested were increased.

One particular fission product, radiostrontium 90, which accumulates in bone tissue, especially of young children (or of any creature subjected to high-altitude fall-out) and which increases liability to certain fatal illnesses after a delay period of up to twenty or more years, is a much greater hazard than the much-publicised genetic effects of radiation.

Both are insignificant at the present level of fall-out, but the radiostrontium hazard is one that is being most carefully watched, both at Harwell and elsewhere.

Two questions, at least, can and should be put. May it not be agreed that any effect, however insignificant, that increases the liability to disease or death of an unborn child or to the birth of a defective one, is harmful and should be avoided?

Yes, but only if the effect is, in itself, un-

necessary or intrinsically wrong.

Whether we like it or not, harmful mutations are the price that we, as a human race, have paid for adaptability, without which we should have suffered the extinction that has come to other species.

We shall go on (with due care) using diagnostic radiology and radiotherapy, even although these are, in this sense, much more dangerous than nuclear tests, both to ourselves and to our descendants; they are worth the risk because of their beneficial effects.

We may even go on using luminous alarm clocks because of their convenience.

We have a duty to insist that the radiation situation shall be carefully watched.

But if we oppose nuclear tests because of their radiation hazards alone, our case is very weak and we may expect it to be ineffective. That is not our case.

OUR CASE

Our case is that they are wrong; that they result from and cause suspicion and enmity; that they cause suffering and death, unnecessarily, to millions of "lesser creatures"; that they are a gross misuse of the world's resources; and that they add unnecessarily, even if at present very little, to the world's radiation hazards.

If they were really an insurance against war, that added hazard might be worth taking, and of course that is precisely the argument of those who authorise the tests. It cannot be answered in terms of genetics and leukaemia.

The Report does not deal with the situation in, say, Japan, except in respect of data accumulated after the two atomic explosions there. That is another question altogether.

As far as tests are concerned, there is no doubt that the amount of radioactivity in the rainfall, for example, has been greater in Japan than in this country.

But it is still most unlikely that radiation from tests has reached a level at all comparable with that from radiology in this country, which is still below what is "permissible." Nevertheless that situation needs vigilance.

With regard to wartime hazards, the Report is explicit and emphatic. Apart from blast, heat and direct radiation, there would be areas covering "thousands and tens of thousands of square miles" where the delayed radiation effects would be "devastating."

Given a sufficient number of bombs, no part of the world would escape exposure to biologically significant levels of radiation...

"Although it is difficult to imagine the general occurrence of radiation intensities which would eliminate the entire human race, atomic warfare on a large scale could not fail to increase for many generations the load of

distress and suffering that individuals and all human societies would be called upon to support."

The Report is readable. Presumably all public libraries will have it. It should be read in its entirety.

tortion, torture and destruction; unless we abolish it by ourselves, by no means on earth can we lessen its instruments, or mitigate its horrors."

This is a profound truth that the "half-convinced" and the non-pacifist alike have refused to face, and the pacifist is not to be blamed for that fact. Any reasonable person ought to be able to see that if the method of war is accepted it must be fought in the way most likely to bring the desired end—victory.

Miss Anscombe writes as though the first attacks on civilians from the air originated in the second world war; this is not so, they had already taken place on the frontiers of India and Afghanistan under the British Raj. The civilian massacres of the war were the logical conclusion of the decision to make and retain the bombing aeroplane.

In fact Miss Anscombe is mistaken not only in her definition of pacifist doctrine, but also in her understanding of how pacifists define war. They do not define it only as an attempt by one bloc to impose its will on another, but as a method of attempting to settle disputes that is in itself inherently immoral and evil.

NO JUST WAR

They do not believe, as does Miss Anscombe (and incidentally the official Christian Church) in a "just war." It cannot be "just" to rely upon the arbitrament of force, which leaves the decision to chance, or to the strongest, and has nothing to do with reason or justice.

Her hypothetical example of the Island of Sark is merely the age-old argument that it is justifiable to do something wrong if the end is desirable and good. This is a doctrine which is to be deplored from every point of view.

It is certainly a new conception of Christianity that it advocates "fight your way in" and make it clear what you are fighting for and your conditions for stopping.

If Jesus meant this when he said, "Love your enemies"; when He declared that "as ye would that men should do to you do ye also unto them" was the golden rule by which men should live, then it is an interpretation totally unacceptable to the pacifist.

Probably Miss Anscombe meant "traditional Christianity" not Christianity. The first Christians were pacifists.

The danger in which the human race stands today is not due to pacifism but to the fact that the large majority agree with Miss Anscombe; so long as war is accepted as justifiable under certain circumstances, then wars will be fought, and they will be fought with every weapon known to science in order to achieve victory.

When the majority come to agree with pacifists that it can never be justifiable, nor right, to use evil means for any end whatever, then and not before, war will be abolished.

LAND & DISARMAMENT * FROM PAGE TWO

establishment of village republics as the basis of social structure.

The Conference discussed other issues too. The recent riots in different parts of the country again brought the twin issues of the rightfulness of Satyagraha and the ease with which both people and Government resorted to violence in settling domestic issues.

Various interpretations were going on in the country about the place of Satyagraha in a democracy. (Satyagraha refers to Gandhi's method of non-violent resistance to evil.) Vinoba justified the use of Satyagraha to fight social injustice, while he deprecated the Government's attitude and deplored the use of violence.

Jayaprakash Narayan, the Socialist leader, emerged as a prominent leader of the movement. His 12-point pledge for those who had offered to devote all their lives for work connected with Sarvodaya (called Jeevanis) was endorsed by the Conference.

The twelve points included amongst others non-participation in elections as candidates, important particularly in view of the fact that general elections are scheduled for next year.

The various study groups considered the phases and future of the programme of land distribution and collection, reconstruction and administration of villages where land has been pooled into community ownership and the co-operation of various organisations doing Sarvodaya work within the programme of Bhoodan.

As the Conference dissolved Vinoba entered a 3-day fast for "self-purification and inner strength" before he resumed his march in Tamilnad, South India.

The new revolution

A three-tier resolution dealing with the world situation, direction of the Bhoodan work and the Sarvodaya movement was approved. "People's self-reliant organisation is Satyagraha. This Satyagraha," the resolution said, "is the dynamic force behind the new revolution. Economic self-sufficiency, non-violent defence, co-operative economy, basic education and collective life of the village will be the characteristics of the new society we are trying to build."

The Basic Education Conference considered how gramdan villages could be utilised for experiments integrating Bhoodan and basic education as they provided a most favourable condition for creating the new social pattern.

Given a sufficient number of bombs, no part of the world would escape exposure to biologically significant levels of radiation...

"Although it is difficult to imagine the general occurrence of radiation intensities which would eliminate the entire human race, atomic warfare on a large scale could not fail to increase for many generations the load of

Basic education, it was stressed, is no mere system of education but an integral part of all rural reconstruction.

It also urged that higher education in the country, including the University education, should fall in line with the approach of basic education:

It was suggested that each province must develop a centre of education giving a full picture of the process of basic education from the cradle to the grave. It must include the education of infants, of children from 6 to 14, of adolescents from 14 to 18, of the university student from 18 to 21 and the adults above that age. A concern was expressed about military training in educational institutions. Military training should not, it was said, form part of the educational system.

Reduction of defence forces was possible only if the nation learnt the techniques of non-violent resistance.

The march is resumed. Much has to be accomplished before the rains set in this year. Hundreds of workers return to their tasks.

It reminded of what Vinoba said sometimes back. "I am not moving from village to village for a few pieces of land, but to release the potent energies of the deep-rooted Indian civilisation and harness it for resolving the socio-economic problems that face humanity at this juncture."

* NO JUDICIAL INQUIRY * FROM PAGE ONE

Fletcher had at his office been prepared to meet him. Fletcher attended a meeting on June 20 at the Colonial Office with the Attorney-General of Kenya, Mr. E. N. Griffith-Jones, QC. Fletcher gave information in her possession in order to facilitate an investigation.

The adjournment debate on Bechuanaland announced last week was used for Kenya.

I RENOUNCE WAR AND I WILL NEVER SUPPORT OR SANCTION ANOTHER

This pledge, signed by each member, is the basis of the Peace Pledge Union.

Send YOUR pledge to
P.P.U. HEADQUARTERS

Dick Sheppard House, Endsleigh Street, W.C.1

Registered as a newspaper. Entered as second-class mail at post office, Boston, USA. Published from Rd., London, N.4, by Peace News Ltd. Printed by Pugh & Cook Ltd. (T.U.), 135 Fonthill Rd., London.